



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 09-2822 M  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) ORDER OF DETENTION  
Horacio Antonio Eguizabal )  
Defendant. )

I

A. ( ) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:

1. ( ) a crime of violence.
2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
3. ( ) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.
4. ( ) a felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.

1 B.  On motion  by the Government/  on Court's own  
2 motion, in a case allegedly involving:

3  On the further allegation by the Government of:

4 1.  a serious risk defendant will flee.

5 2.  a serious risk defendant will:

6 a.  obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

7 b.  threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective  
8 witness or juror, or attempt to do so.

9 C. The Government  is/  is not entitled to a rebuttable  
10 presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will  
11 reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the  
12 safety or any person or the community.

13  
14 II

15 The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions  
16 will reasonably assure:

17 1.  the appearance of defendant as required.

18  and/or

19 2.  the safety of any person or the community.

20  
21 III

22 The Court has considered:

23 A. the nature and circumstances of the offenses;

24 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;

25 C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

26 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or  
27 the community.

1 IV

2 The Court has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing  
3 and the arguments and/or statements of counsel.

4

5 V

6 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

7 A.  Flight risk: No Pretrial interview- no indication of  
bail resources or stability in community; previous bench  
warrants; multiple aliases + DOBs; nature of  
charges

8

9

10 B.  Danger: Criminal history

11

12

13

14 C.  See also Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.

15 D.  Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to  
16 the contrary the presumption provided by statute.

17

18 VI

19 A. The Court finds that a serious risk exists that defendant  
20 will:

21

22 1.  obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

23 2.  threaten, injure or intimidate a witness/juror.

24 3.  attempt to threaten, injure or intimidate a  
25 witness/ juror.

26 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

1 ( ) See also Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.  
2  
3  
4

VI

5 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained prior to  
6 trial.  
7  
8 B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be committed to the  
9 custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections  
10 facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons  
11 awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending  
12 appeal.  
13  
14 C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable  
15 opportunity for private consultation with counsel.  
16  
17 D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the  
18 United States or on request of any attorney for the Government,  
19 the person in charge of the corrections facility in which  
20 defendant is confined deliver defendant to a United States  
21 marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a  
22 court proceeding.  
23  
24

25 Dated: 12/9/09  
26

27  
28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
RALPH ZAREFSKY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE